Quarterly Reporting Template - Guidance

The data collection template requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to track through the high level metrics and deliverables from the Health & Wellbeing Board Better Care

The completed return will require sign off by the Health & Wellbeing Board.

A completed return must be submitted to the Better Care Support Team inbox (england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net) by midday on 28th August 2015

This Excel data collection template for Q1 2015-16 focuses on budget arrangements, the national conditions, payment for performance, income and expenditure to and from the fund, and performance on local metrics. It also presents an opportunity for Health and Wellbeing Boards to register interest in support. Details on future data collection requirements and mechanisms will be announced ahead of the O2 2015/16 data collection.

To accompany the quarterly data collection Health & Wellbeing Boards are required to provide a written narrative into the final tab to contextualise the information provided in this report and build on comments included elsewhere in the submission. This should include an explanation of any material variances against planned performance trajectories as part of a wider overview of progress with the delivery of plans for better care.

Content

The data collection template consists of 9 sheets:

Validations - This contains a matrix of responses to questions within the data collection template.

- 1) Cover Sheet this includes basic details and tracks question completion.
- 2) Budget arrangements- this tracks whether Section 75 agreements are in place for pooling funds.
- 3) National Conditions checklist against the national conditions as set out in the Spending Review.
- 4) Non-Elective and Payment for Performance this tracks performance against NEL ambitions and associated P4P payments.
- 5) Income and Expenditure this tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year.
- 6) Local metrics this tracks performance against the locally set metric and locally defined patient experience metric in BCF plans.
- 7) Understanding support needs this asks what the key barrier to integration is locally and what support might be required.
- 8) Narrative this allows space for the description of overall progress on plan delivery and performance against key indicators.

This sheet contains all the validations for each question in the relevant sections.

All validations have been coloured so that if a value does not pass the validation criteria the cell will be Red and contain the word "No" and if they pass validation they will be coloured Green and contain the word "Yes".

1) Cover Sheet

On the cover sheet please enter the following information:

The Health and Well Being Board

Who has completed the report, email and contact number in case any queries arise

Please detail who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board.

Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed, when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all 8 cells are green should the template be sent to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

2) Budget Arrangements
This plays back to you your response to the question regarding Section 75 agreements from the 2014-15 Q4 submission and requires 2 questions to be answered. Please answer as at the time of completion. If you answered 'Yes' previously you can selection 'Not Applicable' this time.

If your previous submission stated that the funds had not been pooled via a Section 75 agreement, can you now confirm that they have?

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen

3) National Conditions
This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the six national conditions detailed in the Better Care Fund Planning Guidance are still on track to be

It sets out the six conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes', 'No' and 'No - In Progress' that these are on track. If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected please provide a target date when you expect the condition to be met. Please detail in the comments box what the issues are and the actions that are being taken

'No - In Progress' should be used when a condition has not been fully met but work is underway to achieve it by 31 March 2016.

Full details of the conditions are detailed at the bottom of the page.

4) Non-Elective and Payment for Performance

This section tracks performance against NEL ambitions and associated P4P payments. The latest figures for planned activity and costs are provided along with a calculation of the payment for performance payment that should have been made for Q4. Three figures are required and one question needs to be answered:

Input actual Q1 2015-16 Non-Elective performance (i.e. number of NELs for that period) - Cell L12

Input actual value of P4P payment agreed locally - Cell D23

If the actual payment locally agreed is different from the quarterly payment taken from above please explain in the comments box Input actual value of unreleased funds agreed locally

This section also requires indication of the area of spend that unreleased funds have been spent on for Q4 and Q1 using a drop-down list. If no funds were left unreleased then 'Not Applicable' should be selected.

5) Income and Expenditure

This tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year. This requires provision of the following information:

Planned and forecast income into the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2015-16 financial year

Confirmation of actual income into the pooled fund in Q1

Planned and forecast expenditure from the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2015-16 financial year

Confirmation of actual expenditure into the pooled fund in Q1

Figures should reflect the position by the end of each quarter. It is expected that planned income and planned expenditure figures for Q4 2015-16 should equal the total pooled budget for the Health and Wellbeing Board.

There is also an opportunity to provide a commentary on progress which should include reference to any deviation from plan.

6) Local metrics

This tab tracks performance against the locally set metric and locally defined patient experience metric submitted in approved BCF plans. In both cases the metric is set out as defined in the approved plan for the HWB and the following information is required for each metric:

Confirmation that this is the same metric that you wish to continue tracking locally

Confirmation of planned performance for each quarter of 2015-16 (against the metric being tracked locally - whether the same as within your plan or not)

Confirmation of actual performance for Q1 2015-16 (against the metric being tracked locally - whether the same as within your plan or not)

Commentary on progress against the metric and details of any changes to the metric including reference to reasons for changing

7) Understanding Support Needs

This asks what the key barrier to integration is locally and what support might be required in delivering the six key aspects of integration set out previously. This section builds upon the information collected through the BCF Readiness Survey in March 2015. HWBs are asked to:

Confirm which aspect of integration they consider the biggest barrier or challenge to delivering their BCF plan

Confirm against each of the six themes whether they would welcome any support and if so what form they would prefer support to take

There is also an opportunity to provide comments and detail any other support needs you may have which the Better Care Support Team may be able to help with.

8) Narrativ

in this section HWBs are asked to provide a brief narrative on overall progress in delivering their Better Care Fund plans at the current point in time with reference to the information provided within this return.

Better Care Fund Template Q1 2015/16

Data collection Question Completion Validations

Health and Well Being Board	completed by:	e-mail:		Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:
res es	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Budget Arrangements

S.75 pooled budget in the Q4 data collection? and all dates needed Yes

ational Conditions

ns								
	Are the plans still jointly	Are Social Care Services (not spending) being	at weekends in place and		ii) Are you pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each	Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with	packages of care, is there an	
Please Select (Yes, No or No - In Progress)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If the answer is "No" or "No In Progress" estimated date if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Non-Elective and P4P

	Actual payment		Any unreleased funds were	Any unreleased funds
Actual Q1 15/16	locally agreed	Comments	used for: Q4 14/15	were used for: Q1 15/16
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

I&E (2 parts)

		Q1 2015/16	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Please comment if there is a difference between the total yearly plan and the pooled fund
Income to	Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Plan		•			
	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Forecast					
	Actual	Yes				
	Actual					
Expenditure From	Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Expenditure From	Plan					
	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Forecast					
	Actual	Yes				
	Actual					
	Commentary	Yes				

Local Metrics

	Same local performance metric in plan?	If the answer is No details				
	Yes	Yes				
	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Actual	Actual
	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16
Local performance metric						
plan and actual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commentary	Yes				•	•
		_				
	Same local performance metric	If the answer is No	Ī			
	in plan?	details				
	Yes	Yes	Ī			
	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Actual	Actual
	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16
Local patient experience						
plan and actual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commentary	Yes			-	-	

Understanding Support Needs

Area of integration greatest challenge

	Interested in support?	Preferred support medium
Leading and Managing successful better care implementation	Yes	Yes
Delivering excellent on the ground care centred around the individual	Yas	Yes
Developing underpinning integrated datasets and information systems	Yes	Yes
Aligning systems and sharing benefits and risks	Yes	Yes
5. Measuring success	Yes	Yes
Developing organisations to enable effective collaborative health and social care working		
relationships		Yes

Narrative

Brief Narrative

Cover and Basic Details

Q1 2015/16

entry
d
try.gov.uk
1y.50v.uk
22

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

	No. of questions answered
1. Cover	5
2. Budget Arrangements	1
3. National Conditions	24
4. Non-Elective and P4P	5
5. I&E	21
6. Local metrics	18
7. Understanding Support Needs	13
8. Narrative	1

Budget Arrangements

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Coventry Data Submission Period: Q1 2015/16 Budget arrangements Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget? Ye If it has not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you now confirm that they have? If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)

Footnotes:

Source: For the S.75 pooled budget question which is pre-populated, the data is from the Q4 data collection previously filled in by the HWB.

National Conditions

Please select Yes No No - In Progress

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund.

Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these are on track as per your final BCF plan. Further details on the conditions are specified below.

If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include a date **and** a comment in the box to the right

Condition	Please Select (Yes, No or No - In Progress)	"No" or "No - In Progress" please enter estimated date when condition will be met if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	Comment
1) Are the plans still jointly agreed?	Yes	() , , ,	
Are Social Care Services (not spending) being protected?	Yes		
Are the 7 day services to support patients being discharged and prevent	No - In Progress	Dec-15	A demand and capacity review is currently being undertaken across the acute and community setting. This review will identify any current gaps in 7 day provision and allow local
unnecessary admission at weekends in place and delivering?			plans to be enhanced.
4) In respect of data sharing - confirm that:			
	No - In Progress	Oct-15	Implementation of the NHS Spine is in progress. It is estimated this will be completed by October 2015.
i) Is the NHS Number being used as the primary identifier for health and care services?			
	Yes		
iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information	Yes		
sharing in line with Caldicott 2?			
	No - In Progress		The Integrated Neighbourhoods Team model has recently been piloted wihtin two GP practices. Approval has now been given for the scale up of this approach that will enable city
Is a joint approach to assessments and care planning taking place and where funding			wide deliovery of joint assessment and suport planning for high risk individuals. Full rollout is planned for December 2015.
is being used for integrated packages of care, is there an accountable professional?			
Is an agreement on the consequential impact of changes in the acute sector in	Yes		
place?			

National conditions - Guidance

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund:

1) Plans to be jointly agreed

The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Round, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and Wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissioning Groups. In agreeing the plan, CCGs and councils should engage with all providers likely to be affected by the use of the fund in order to achieve the best outcomes for local people. They should develop a shared view of the future shape of services. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service change consequences.

2) Protection for social care services (not spending)

Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will be protected within their plans. The definition of protecting services is to be agreed locally. It should be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013/14: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/sttachment_data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

3) As part of agreed local plans, 7-day services in health and social care to support patients being discharged and prevent unnecessary admissions at weekends

Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services to support patients being discharged and prevent unnecessary admissions at weekends. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why. There will not be a nationally defined level of 7-day services to be provided. This will be for local determination and agreement. There is clear evidence that many patients are not discharged from hospital at weekends when they are clinically fit to be discharged because the supporting services are not available to facilitate it. The recent national review of urgent and emergency care sponsored by Sir Bruce Keogh for NHS England provided guidance on establishine effective 7-day services within existing resources.

4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number

The safe, secure sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a primary identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of information. It is also vital that the right cultures, behaviours and leadership are demonstrated locally, fostering a culture of secure, lawful and appropriate sharing of data to support better care.

- confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the primary identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
- confirm that they are pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other); and
- ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with Caldicott 2, and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.

NHS England has already produced guidance that relates to both of these areas. (It is recognised that progress on this issue will require the resolution of some Information Governance issues by DH).

5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and a lead accountable professional, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priority for better integrated health and social care services, supported by accountable professionals. The Government has set out an ambition in the Mandate that GPs should be accountable for co-ordinating patient-centred care for older people and those with complex needs.

6) Agreement on the consequential impact of changes in the acute sector

Local areas should identify, provider-by-provider, what the impact will be in their local area, including if the impact goes beyond the acute sector. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. Ministers have indicated that, in line with the Mandate requirements on achieving parity of esteem for mental health, plans must not have a negative impact on the level and quality of mental health services.

Better Care Fund Revised Non-Elective and Payment for Performance Calculatio

Selected Health and Riefl Bring Board.	East.
	Found Statutes (Auditor Statutines) (Auditor Statut
	The state of the s
While dies should be also obtain the DP MAP to the obtain the should be a should be about the should be ab	Edit data seals
positivity speciest Salas Non silvine Actual association for sovered	The state of the s
If the actual payment locally agreed it different from the quarterly payment taken from above prevalent no the comments has from TRI characters?	
System was not of company to the fact. And of the place	Medi Grane Maria Medi Sarah - Brane
and control of what if was southered both, were seenful between set that there to see the	

Notice: for the Basilies, Place, data course, locally algored payment and cod per now elective activity which are pre-populated, the data is found the Batter Care Foodborned Notice Classification (Figure 1.0).

Figure 1.00 and Figure 1.00 activities a Confidence Confidence Classification prevails) filted in by the MBM. This vocales call data recover from MMBM at 3 Date in 8th August 2000. However note that the databas can be seen colored and confidence additional confidence.

Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the year-end figures should equal the total pooled fund)

Selected Health and Well Being Board:	Coventry						
Income							
		04.2045/46	03 3045 /46	03 3045 /46	04 2045 /46	Tatal Variety Diag	Pooled Fund
	Plan	Q1 2015/16	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Total Yearly Plan	
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total income into		£12,994,750	£12,994,750	£12,994,750			£51,979,000
the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should	Forecast	£12,994,750		£12,994,750	£12,994,750		
equal the total pooled fund)	Actual*	£12,994,750					
Please comment if there is a difference between the total							
yearly plan and the pooled fund							
Expenditure							
		0. 00.5 (4.5	00.0045/45	00.0045/46	0.4.0045/4.5		
		Q1 2015/16	Q2 2015/16	Q3 2015/16	Q4 2015/16	Total Yearly Plan	Pooled Fund
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total expenditure	Plan	£12,994,750		£12,994,750			£51,979,000
from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures	Forecast	£12,994,750		£12,994,750	£12,456,750		
should equal the total pooled fund)	Actual*	£10,623,777					
Please comment if there is a difference between the total							
yearly plan and the pooled fund							
Commentary on progress against financial plan:	Work is underway on a number of schemes and it is expected that these will start to deliver some of the expected savings in the Q2 forecast which will be managed in line with agreement						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			<u> </u>				

Footnote

Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards. Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a Q4 collection previously filled in by the HWB.

Local performance metric and local defined patient experience metric

Selected Health and Well Being Board:		Coventry							
	-								
Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan	The outcome of	of short-term se	ervices: seque	to service					
Is this still the local performance metric that you wish to use to track the impact of your BCF plan?	Yes								
If the answer is no to the above question please give details of the local performance metric being used (max 750 characters)									
		Pla					ctual		
Local newformance matric plan and actual	Q4 14/15 60	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	
Local performance metric plan and actual	60	0		0	/(J	U		
Place reside comportar on process / changes	15. As this is d	erived and cald						Return for 2014- 0 in the	
Please provide commentary on progress / changes:	quarters other	than Q4.							
Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan	Proposal that t					sed until a me	pacura of usor		
			ystem view ca	in de develope	d		asule of user	experience that	
		1	ystem view ca	in be develope	d. <u> </u>		easure or user	experience that	
Is this still the local defined patient experience metric that you wish to use to track the impact of your			ystem view ca	in be develope	<u>a.</u>		easure or user	experience that	
Is this still the local defined patient experience metric that you wish to use to track the impact of your BCF plan?	Yes		ystem view ca	in be develope	a.		easure or user	experience that	
	Yes		ystem view cz	n be develope:	a.		easure of user	experience that	
BCF plan? If the answer is no to the above question please give details of the local defined patient experience	Yes			n be develope:	a.	Δ.		experience that	
BCF plan? If the answer is no to the above question please give details of the local defined patient experience	Yes Q4 14/15	Pla		Q3 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	cctual Q2 15/16	experience that	
BCF plan? If the answer is no to the above question please give details of the local defined patient experience		Pla Q1 15/16	an Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	ctual		

Source: For the local performance metric which is pre-populated, the data is from a local performance metric collection previously filled in by the HWB. For the local defined patient experience metric which is pre-populated, the data is from a local patient experience previously filled in by the HWB.

Support requests

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Coventry

Which area of integration do you see as the greatest challenge or barrier to the successful implementation of your Better Care plan (please select from dropdown)?

3. Developing underpinning integrated datasets and information systems

Please use the below form to indicate whether you would welcome support with any particular area of integration, and what format that support might take.

	Theme	Interested in support?		Comments - Please detail any other support needs you feel you have that you feel the Better Care Support Team may be able to help with.
ĺ	L. Leading and Managing successful better care implementation	No		
ĺ	2. Delivering excellent on the ground care centred around the individual	Yes	Case studies or examples of good practice	Examples of good practice, particluarly in relation the the administration of CHC and joint assessments would be benefical.
	Developing underpinning integrated datasets and information systems	Yes		take place to allow helaht and socal care organisations to understand the full patient journey and where improvements can be made when seen through a genuine single pathway.
	I. Aligning systems and sharing benefits and risks	Yes	Case studies or examples of good practice	Examples of how other local areas are achieveing alingment of systems and benefits sharing would be beneficial.
	6. Measuring success	Yes	Case studies or examples of good practice	Examples of how others have accruately measured the benefits and success across helath and social care systems will be beneficial.
	 Developing organisations to enable effective collaborative health and social care working relationships 	No		

Narrative

Selected Health and Well Being Board:
Coventry
Data Submission Period:
Q1 2015/16
Narrative Remaining Characters 32,238
Please provide a brief narrative on overall progress in delivering your Better Care Fund plan at the current point in time with reference to the information provided within this return where appropriate.
A perfect week was recently held at University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire and resulted in an improvement in the admission and discharge
rates. The learning from the perfect week is being used to continue to improve the DToC levels that remain high.
The national BCF support team visited Coventry recently and attended the programme board. The visit provided the BCF team with the opportunity to listen to the experiences of board to date and to take away learning for the on-going development of the national programme.